

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,752	08/23/2000	Victor Andrew Riley	H16-25959(256.053US1)	1500
	186 7590 12/18/2003 CHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2938		SSNER & KLUTH, P.A.	<u> </u>	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Communication Re: Appeal	09/644,752	RILEY, VICTOR ANDREW			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian T. Pendleton	2644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not acceptable because:					
(a) it was not timely filed.					
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(b).					
(c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed.					
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$					
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.191 in that there is no record of a second or a final rejection in this application.					
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on					
2. The appeal brief filed on 9/11/2003 is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:					
(a) The brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 1.192.					
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(c).					
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(c) is \$					
The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).					
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSED becau	use:				
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 1.17(c) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired.					
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired.					
(c) Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on					
(d) other:					
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this applica	ation:				
(a) is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.					
(b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED.					
(c) ☐ is before the examiner for consideration of the submission and prosecution has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.					

The Appeal Brief contains a reference to Dilworth et al on page 4. There is no such named reference applied to the rejected claims.

1.22

XU ME:

PRIMARY EXAMINER

XU MEH EMABY EXAMIN.